

TECHNICAL AND FINANCIAL **EVALUATION GRID**

Section	Maximum Score
1. Relevance	50
1.1 How relevant to the particular needs and constraints of the target country(ies) or region(s) is the proposal?	2x5
1.2 To what extent does the proposal contain specific elements of synergy with other EC programmes, especially RTD programmes?	5
1.3 To what extent does the proposal contain specific elements of synergy with information society national policies in the target country(ies) or region(s)?	5
1.4 How clearly defined and strategically chosen are the target groups ?	5
1.5 How relevant is the proposal to the needs of the proposed target groups ?	2x5
1.6 How relevant is the proposal to the objectives and one or more of the priorities of the programme?	5
1.7 How coherent and appropriate are the activities proposed with regard to the objectives?	5
1.8 To what extent does the proposal contain specific elements of added value , such as innovative approaches, models for good practice, promotion of gender equality and equal opportunities?	5
2. Methodology	50
2.1 How coherent is the overall project design ? (Including structure in subprojects, co-ordination and integration activities, and preparedness for evaluation .)	2x5
2.2 How satisfactory is the level of involvement and activity of the partners ? And of inter-partner co-operation ?	5
2.3 How clear and practical is the plan of action ?	5
2.4 To what extent does the proposal contain objectively verifiable indicators for project outcomes?	5
2.5 To what extent does the proposed demonstration project allow for possibly integrating additional modules ? (i.e. integration of new computer functions)	5
2.6 To what extent does the proposed project contributes towards the convergence of communication, information, and broadcasting technologies?	5
2.7 To what extent does the existing network infrastructure among the partners meet with the corresponding demonstrator's requirements?	5
2.8 To what extent will the project take advantage of the future network infrastructure resulting from the @LIS interconnectivity activity?	5
2.9 To which extent does the proposal foster the use of open and interoperable systems based on international standards and the development of open, easily maintainable and evolutionary software?	5
3. Sustainability	30
3.1 To what extent is the project likely to have a tangible impact on its target groups?	5
3.2 To what extent does the proposal contain potential multiplier effects ? (Including possibilities for replication and extension of project outcomes, dissemination of information, or transferable to the private sector.)	5
3.3 To what extent are the results of the proposed project sustainable : - financially (<i>how will the activities be financed after the EC funding ends?</i>) - institutionally (<i>Will structures allowing the activities to continue be in place at the end of the present project? Will there be local "ownership" of project outcomes?</i>) - at the policy level (where applicable) (<i>what will be the structural impact of the project – e.g. will it lead to improved legislation, codes of conduct, methods, etc?</i>) - technically (<i>will the technologies used in the project allow low-cost updates and functional changes?</i>)	2x5
3.4 To what extent the proposed project plans to disseminate its results or transfer them to the private sector?	2x5
4. Community added value and Latin-American regional integration	20
4.1 To what extent does the contribution of participants creates community added value and regional integration ?	2x5
4.2 Is there a territorial balance ?	5
4.3 Is the role of each participant clearly defined and visible ?	5
5. Budget and Cost-effectiveness	20
5.1 To what extent is the budget clear and detailed ?	2x5
5.2 To what extent is the proposed expenditure necessary for the implementation of the project?	2x5
6. Management Capacity and Expertise	30
6.1 How satisfactory is the previous project management experience of the applicant? Note: if the applicant has no previous project management experience, the score will be 1.	2x5
6.2 How satisfactory is the technical expertise of the applicant? (I.e. knowledge of the issues to be addressed.)	2x5
6.3 How satisfactory is the current management capacity of the applicant? (including staff, equipment and its ability to handle the project budget)?	2x5
Maximum total score	200